5 Applications of Nullstellensatz. Maximal ideals in polynomial rings. Radical ideals.

5.1 Decomposition of affine algebraic sets into affine algebraic varieties.

Proposition 5.1. Let k be algebraically closed, let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft k[x_1,...,x_n]$, and let

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathfrak{q}_m = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{q}_m.$$

be the primary decomposition of \mathfrak{a} with the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathrm{rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ are affine algebraic varieties.

Proof. Clearly

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{q}_m) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_1) \cup ... \cup \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_m),$$

and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ is a variety, since $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_i)) = \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i) = \mathfrak{p}_i$ is prime, $i \in I$.

5.2 Maximal ideals in polynomial rings.

Lemma 5.2. Let A be a commutative ring, let $f \in A[x_1, ..., x_n]$. If $f(a_1, ..., a_n) = 0$ for some $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in A^n$, then there exist polynomials $g_1, ..., g_n \in A[x_1, ..., x_n]$ such that

$$f = (x_1 - a_1)g_1 + \dots + (x_n - a_n)g_n$$

Proof. If n = 1, consider the identity:

$$x^{m} - a^{m} = (x - a)(x^{m-1} + ax^{m-2} + \dots + a^{m-2}x + a^{m-1}).$$

Say $f(x_1) = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + ... + b_l x_1^l$. Since $f(a_1) = 0$, we get

$$f(x_1) = f(x_1) - f(a_1)$$

= $(b_0 + b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_l x_1^l) - (b_0 + b_1 a_1 + \dots + b_l a_1^l)$
= $b_1(x_1 - a_1) + b_2(x_1^2 - a_1^2) + \dots + b_l(x_1^l - a_1^l),$

so that $f(x_1) = (x_1 - a_1)g(x_1)$ for a suitably defined $g \in A[x_1]$.

If n > 1 and the Lemma is true for polynomials in n - 1 variables, then consider the polynomial

$$q(x_1,...,x_{n-1}) = f(x_1,...,x_{n-1},a_n) \in k[x_1,...,x_{n-1}].$$

By the inductive hypothesis:

$$g(x_1,...,x_{n-1}) = (x_1 - a_1)g_1 + ... + (x_{n-1} - a_{n-1})g_{n-1},$$

for some $g_1, ..., g_{n-1} \in k[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]$. Moreover

$$f(x_1, ..., x_n) = \underbrace{f(x_1, ..., x_n) - f(x_1, ..., x_{n-1}, a_n)}_{\in A[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}][x_n]} + \underbrace{f(x_1, ..., x_{n-1}, a_n)}_{\in A[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]}$$

$$= (x_n - a_n)g_n(x_1, ..., x_n) + (x_1 - a_1)g_1 + ... + (x_{n-1} - a_{n-1})g_{n-1}$$

by the result for n=1 and the inductive step.

Proposition 5.3. Let k be algebraically closed and let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[x_1,...,x_n]$. Then \mathfrak{m} is maximal if and only if $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - a_1,...,x_n - a_n)$, for some $a_1,...,a_n \in k$.

Proof. The ideal $(x_1 - a_1, ..., x_n - a_n)$ is maximal as the kernel of the homomorphic map $k[x_1, ..., x_n] \to k$ given by $f \mapsto f(a_1, ..., a_n)$.

Conversely, let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal. By Lemma 4.14 the set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})$ is nonempty, hence it contains an element $(a_1,...,a_n) \in k^n$. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = (x_1 - a_1,...,x_n - a_n)$. As before, \mathfrak{a} is maximal. Since

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{(a_1, ..., a_n)\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})$$

we get that

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) \supseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})).$$

 $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}))$ is a propel ideal, for otherwise $(a_1,...,a_n) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m}))) = \mathcal{Z}(1) = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. A \mathfrak{m} is maximal:

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})) = \mathfrak{m}.$$

On the other hand $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$, and hence $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. But as \mathfrak{m} is maximal, this yields $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Corollary 5.4. Let k be algebraically closed.

- 1. If $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[x_1,...,x_n]$ is a maximal ideal, then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m})$ is a singleton.
- 2. For every $\underline{a} \in k^n$ the ideal $\mathcal{I}(\underline{a})$ is maximal.

Proof. The first part follows directly from Proposition 5.3. For the secon one take $(a_1,...,a_n) \in k^n$ and consider the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - a_1, ..., x_n - a_n) \triangleleft k[x_1, ..., x_n]$. If $f \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $f \in \mathcal{I}(\{(a_1,...,a_n)\})$, so that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\{(a_1,...,a_n)\})$. But then $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{I}(\{(a_1,...,a_n)\})$.

Proposition 5.5. Let k be algebraically closed. The map

$$\mathcal{I}: \operatorname{Var} k^n \to \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, ..., x_n], \qquad V \mapsto \mathcal{I}(V)$$

is a bijection. In particular singleton sets are mapped onto maximal ideals, and the inverse map is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}$$
: Spec $k[x_1, ..., x_n] \to \operatorname{Var} k^n$, $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. For an affine algebraic variety $V \subseteq k^n$, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(V)) = V$, by Remark 3.6.3 and, for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft k[x_1, ..., x_n]$, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})) = \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$, by Hilbert Nullstellensatz. Moreover, singletons are mapped onto maximal ideals, by Corollary 5.4.

5.3 Radical ideals.

Definition 5.6. An ideal \mathfrak{a} of a ring A is called **radical**, if $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Lemma 5.7. Let A be a ring. An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft A$ is radical if and only if the ring A/\mathfrak{a} does not have nonzero nilpotents.

Proof. Let $\kappa: A \to A/\mathfrak{a}$ be the canonical epimorphism. We claim that

$$\operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{a} = \kappa^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(A/\mathfrak{a})).$$

Indeed, for $x \in A$:

$$x \in \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{a} \iff x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

 $\iff x + \mathfrak{a} \in \operatorname{Nil}(A/\mathfrak{a})$
 $\iff x \in \kappa^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(A/\mathfrak{a})).$

Therefore

$$\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{a} = \kappa^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(A/\mathfrak{a})) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Nil}(A/\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}.$$

which means that \mathfrak{a} is radical if and only if the only element nilpotent in A/\mathfrak{a} is the zero element. \square

Proposition 5.8. Let k be algebraically closed and let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft k[x_1, ..., x_n]$. Then \mathfrak{a} is radical if and only if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{I}(V)$ for some affine algebraic set $V \subset k^n$.

Proof. If \mathfrak{a} is radical, that is $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{a}$, then by Hilbert Nullstellensatz $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) = \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}$, that is $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{I}(V)$, where $V = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Conversely, if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{I}(V)$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(V)) = V$, so that by Hilbert Nullstellensatz

$$\operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathcal{I}(V) = \mathfrak{a}.$$

Proposition 5.9. Let k be algebraically closed and let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft k[x_1,...,x_n]$ be a radical ideal. Then \mathfrak{a} has a unique decomposition into prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1,...,\mathfrak{p}_r \triangleleft k[x_1,...,x_n]$:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{p}_r$$
 with $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$, for $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) = V_1 \cup ... \cup V_r$$

be the decomposition of the affine algebraic set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ into a union of pairwise incomparable affine algebraic varieties. Clearly

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathcal{I}(V_1) \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{I}(V_r),$$

and every ideal $\mathcal{I}(V_i)$ is prime. From the incomparability of V_i 's it follows that $\mathcal{I}(V_i)$'s are also incomparable. By Hilbert Nullstellensatz rad $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}))$, so that – as \mathfrak{a} is radical – we get that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{I}(V_1) \cap ... \cap \mathcal{I}(V_r).$$

is a decomposition of \mathfrak{a} into pairwise incomparable prime ideals of $k[x_1,...,x_n]$.

In order to show that such a decomposition is unique, suppose that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$$

are two decompositions into pairwise incomparable prime ideals. But then

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cup ... \cup \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}_r) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_1) \cup ... \cup \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_s)$$

are two decompositions of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$ into affine algebraic varieties with incomparable summands. Consequently, r = s and after a conceivably necessary change of labelling $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$, so that $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i$, $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$.