

2 Primary decomposition.

2.1 Primary decomposition.

Remark 2.1. Consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ and an element $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exist uniquely determined prime numbers $p_1, \dots, p_m$ and exponents $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n = \pm p_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_m^{k_m}$$

or, equivalently:

$$(n) = (p_1^{k_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (p_m^{k_m}) = (p_1^{k_1}) \cap \dots \cap (p_m^{k_m}).$$

Definition 2.2. Let R be any ring. An ideal $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft R$ is called **primary**, if $\mathfrak{q} \neq R$ and for all $a, b \in R$

$$ab \in \mathfrak{q} \wedge b \notin \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \quad a^n \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

Example 2.3.

1. Every prime ideal is primary.
2. An ideal in $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by a power of a prime number is primary.
3. Let R be a principal ideal domain. Then $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary if and only if $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^n$, for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. 1. and 2. are obvious. In order to show 3., assume that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^n$. As R is a PID, it follows that $\mathfrak{p} = (p)$, for some prime element $p \in R$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{q} = (p)^n = (p^n)$. Say $a \cdot b \in \mathfrak{q} = (p^n)$ with $b \notin \mathfrak{q} = (p^n)$, for some $a, b \in R$. Then $p^n \mid a \cdot b$ and $p^n \nmid b$. As a PID, R is a unique factorization domain, so that it follows $p \mid a$, and, consequently, $p^n \mid a$, that is $a^n \in \mathfrak{q}$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary. Let $\mathfrak{q} = (c)$, for some $c \in R$. Suppose that $c \neq u \cdot p^n$, for all units $u \in U(R)$, all prime elements $p \in R$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by unique factorization, c is divisible by two different prime elements, say p and q . Let $c = a \cdot b$ with $p \mid a$ and $q \mid b$. Then $c \mid a \cdot b$ and $c \nmid b$, but also $c \nmid a^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which means that $\mathfrak{q} = (c)$ is not primary – a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft R$ be a proper ideal in R . The following conditions are equivalent:

- i. $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary,
- ii. every zero divisor in $R/\mathfrak{q}$ is nilpotent,
- iii. the zero ideal in $R/\mathfrak{q}$ is primary.

Proof. It suffices to notice that $\mathfrak{q}$ being primary is equivalent to the following condition in $R/\mathfrak{q}$:

$$(a + \mathfrak{q}) \cdot (b + \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q} \wedge a + \mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (a + \mathfrak{q})^n = \mathfrak{q}.$$

$\square$

Example 2.5. The ideal $(x, y^2) \triangleleft k[x, y]$, where k is any field, is primary, but is not a power of a prime ideal.

Proof. Observe that every polynomial $f(x, y) \in k[x, y]$ can be written as

$$f(x, y) = x \cdot g(x, y) + h(y) = x \cdot g(x, y) + y^2 \cdot h_1(y) + a \cdot y + b,$$

with $g(x, y) \in k[x, y]$, $h(y), h_1(y) \in k[y]$ and $a, b \in k$. It then follows that the map

$$k[x, y] / \mathfrak{q} \rightarrow k[y] / (y^2), \quad f(x, y) + \mathfrak{q} \mapsto a \cdot y + b + (y^2)$$

is a well-defined ring isomorphism, so that $k[x, y] / \mathfrak{q} \cong k[y] / (y^2)$.

In order to show that $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary, we note that $k[y]$ is a PID, and y is a prime element of $k[y]$, so that, by Example 2.3.3 the ideal (y^2) is primary. Thus, by Lemma 2.4.iii, the zero ideal in the ring $k[y] / (y^2)$ is primary, and so is the zero ideal in the isomorphic ring $k[x, y] / \mathfrak{q}$, leading to $\mathfrak{q}$ being primary.

We proceed to show that $\mathfrak{q}$ is not a power of a prime ideal. Firstly, $\mathfrak{q}$ is not prime itself, as the ring $k[x, y] / \mathfrak{q}$ is not a domain: the isomorphic ring $k[y] / (y^2)$ has zero divisors, for example $(y + (y^2))^2 = (y^2)$. Secondly, suppose that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^n$, for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft k[x, y]$. Since

$$(x, y^2) = \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{p},$$

it follows that $x, y^2 \in \mathfrak{p}$. As $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, also $y \in \mathfrak{p}$. Consequently, $(x, y) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, but as (x, y) is maximal, it follows $(x, y) = \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^n = (x, y)^n$. On the other hand

$$(x, y)^2 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq (x, y),$$

which yields a contradiction. □

Definition 2.6. Let R be a ring. An ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft R$, $0 \neq \mathfrak{n}$ is *irreducible* if, for all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \triangleleft R$

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a} \vee \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{b}.$$

Example 2.7.

1. Every maximal ideal is irreducible.
2. Every prime ideal is irreducible.
3. An ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft R$ is irreducible if and only if the zero ideal in $R / \mathfrak{n}$ is irreducible.

Proof. 1. is obvious. For the proof of 2., suppose that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of a ring R such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, for some $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \triangleleft R$, with $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{b}$. Then there exist $a \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{b} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Clearly $a \cdot b \in \mathfrak{p}$ implying $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ or $b \in \mathfrak{p}$, which yields a contradiction.

In order to show 3., assume that $\mathfrak{n}$ is an irreducible ideal of a ring R . Let

$$(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{B},$$

for some ideals $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \triangleleft R / \mathfrak{n}$. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})$, where κ denotes the canonical epimorphism $\kappa: R \rightarrow R / \mathfrak{n}$, $a \mapsto a + \mathfrak{n}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{n} = \kappa^{-1}((\mathfrak{n})) = \kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}.$$

As $\mathfrak{n}$ is irreducible, either $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{b}$, which leads to $(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{A}$ or $(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{B}$.

Conversely, assume that $(\mathfrak{n})$ is an irreducible ideal in $R/\mathfrak{n}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b},$$

for some ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \triangleleft R$. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \kappa(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \kappa(\mathfrak{b})$. Then

$$(\mathfrak{n}) = \kappa(\mathfrak{n}) = \kappa(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = \kappa(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \kappa(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{B};$$

indeed, clearly $\kappa(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) \subset \kappa(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \kappa(\mathfrak{b})$, and for the other inclusion fix $\bar{y} \in \kappa(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \kappa(\mathfrak{b})$. Thus $\bar{y} = \kappa(a)$, for some $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, and $\bar{y} = \kappa(b)$, for some $b \in \mathfrak{b}$. Hence $a - b \in \ker \kappa = \mathfrak{n}$, so that $a = b + n$, for some $n \in \mathfrak{n}$, but as $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, this yields $a \in \mathfrak{b}$ and, consequently, $a \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$.

Now, by irreducibility of $(\mathfrak{n})$, we either get $(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{A}$, leading to $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a}$, or $(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{B}$, leading to $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{b}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *Let R be Noetherian. Every irreducible ideal in R is primary.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an irreducible ideal in a Noetherian ring R . By Lemma 2.4.2 it suffices to show that in the ring $A/\mathfrak{n}$ every zero divisor is nilpotent. Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in R/\mathfrak{n}$ be such that $\bar{x}\bar{y} = \bar{0}$ with $\bar{y} \neq \bar{0}$. For $\bar{t} \in R/\mathfrak{n}$ let

$$\text{Ann } \bar{t} = \{\bar{z} \in R/\mathfrak{n} \mid \bar{z}\bar{t} = \bar{0}\}.$$

One easily checks that $\text{Ann } \bar{t} \triangleleft R/\mathfrak{n}$, and thus

$$\text{Ann } \bar{x} \subseteq \text{Ann } \bar{x}^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Ann } \bar{x}^n \subseteq \dots$$

is an ascending chain of ideals. Since R is Noetherian, so is $R/\mathfrak{n}$, and hence there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\text{Ann } \bar{x}^n = \text{Ann } \bar{x}^{n+1} = \dots$$

We claim that $(\bar{x}^n) \cap (\bar{y}) = (\bar{0})$. Indeed, let $\bar{a} \in (\bar{x}^n) \cap (\bar{y})$. Then $\bar{a} = \bar{b} \bar{x}^n$ and $\bar{a} = \bar{c} \bar{y}$, for some $\bar{b}, \bar{c} \in R/\mathfrak{n}$. Hence

$$\bar{b} \bar{x}^{n+1} = \bar{b} \bar{x}^n \bar{x} = \bar{a} \bar{x} = \bar{c} \bar{y} \bar{x} = \bar{c} \bar{0} = \bar{0},$$

so that $\bar{b} \in \text{Ann } \bar{x}^{n+1} = \text{Ann } \bar{x}^n$ and, consequently, $\bar{a} = \bar{b} \bar{x}^n = \bar{0}$. This proves the claim.

By Example 2.7.3 the zero ideal of $R/\mathfrak{n}$ is irreducible. Thus, by the above claim, $(\bar{x}^n) = (\bar{0})$, as $\bar{y} \in (\bar{y})$ and $\bar{y} \neq \bar{0}$. Therefore $\bar{x}^n = \bar{0}$, that is $\bar{x}$ is nilpotent. $\square$

Lemma 2.9. *Let R be Noetherian, let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R$ be a proper ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is an intersection of a finite number of irreducible ideals.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a nonempty family $\mathcal{R}$ of proper ideals that are not intersections of finite numbers of irreducible ideals. Since R is Noetherian, the family $\mathcal{R}$ contains a maximal element $\mathfrak{c}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{c}$ is not irreducible. Let $a, b \in R$ with $a, b \notin \mathfrak{c}$ and let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c} + (a)$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c} + (b)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}, \quad \mathfrak{c} \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}, \quad \mathfrak{c} \subsetneq \mathfrak{b},$$

which means that $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \notin \mathcal{R}$. Thus both $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are intersections of finite numbers of irreducible ideals, and so is $\mathfrak{c}$ – a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be Noetherian, let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R$ be a proper ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is an intersection of a finite number of primary ideals.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 every ideal is an intersection of a finite number of irreducible ideals, and by Lemma 2.8 every irreducible ideal in R is primary. $\square$

2.2 Radical of an ideal.

Definition 2.11. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R$. The **radical** of the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is defined to be

$$\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} = \{r \in R \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} r^n \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

Remark 2.12. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R$. Then $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal.

Proof. Fix $a, b \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$. Then $a^n \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $b^m \in \mathfrak{a}$, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} (a-b)^{n+m-1} &= a^n a^{m-1} + \binom{n+m-1}{1} a^n a^{m-2} b + \dots + \binom{n+m-1}{m-1} a^n b^{m-1} \\ &\quad + \binom{n+m-1}{m} a^{n-1} b^m + \binom{n+m-1}{m+1} a^{n-2} b^{m+1} + \dots + b^{n-1} b^m \in \mathfrak{a}, \end{aligned}$$

which means $a-b \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$. Moreover, if $r \in R$, then

$$(ra)^n = r^n a^n \in \mathfrak{a},$$

that is $ra \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$. $\square$

Remark 2.13. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \triangleleft R$.

1. $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$,
2. $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \Rightarrow \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$,
3. $\text{rad } (\text{rad } \mathfrak{a}) = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$,
4. $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$,
5. $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$,
6. $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} = (1) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{a} = (1)$,
7. $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = \text{rad } (\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b})$,
8. $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = (1) \Leftrightarrow \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b} = (1)$.

Proof. 1. and 2. follow directly from the definition of a radical.

For the proof of 3., fix $a \in \text{rad } (\text{rad } \mathfrak{a})$. Then $a^n \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But then $a^{nm} = (a^n)^m \in \mathfrak{a}$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, that is $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$.

In order to prove 4., as $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, in view of 2. also $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$ and it suffices to show the other inclusion. Fix $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$. Thus $a^n \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and, consequently, $a^{2n} = a^n a^n \in \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}$.

To show 5., since $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, by 2. $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ and $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$, so it suffices to show the other inclusion. Fix $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$. Then $a^n \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $a^m \in \mathfrak{b}$, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $a^{n+m} = a^n a^m \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, so that $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$.

6. is clear, since $1 \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow 1 = 1^n \in \mathfrak{a}$.

To show 6. notice that, as $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = (\mathfrak{a} \cup \mathfrak{b}) \subseteq (\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} \cup \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}) = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$, one inclusion follows from 2., and it suffices to justify the other one. Fix $a \in \text{rad}(\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b})$. Then $a^n \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $a^n = b + c$ with $b \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ and $c \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$, that is $b^k \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $c^l \in \mathfrak{b}$, for some $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $a^{n(k+l)} = (a^n)^{k+l} = (b+c)^{k+l} = b^k x + c^l y$, for some $x, y \in R$, that is $a^{n(k+l)} \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ and, as a result, $a \in \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})$.

Finally, for the proof of 7. firstly observe, that if $1 \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ then, by 1. also $1 \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$. Conversely, if $1 \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$ then, by 1. and 7., $1 \in \text{rad}(\text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}) = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a} + \text{rad } \mathfrak{b}$. Therefore, by 6., $1 \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$. $\square$

Remark 2.14. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R$ be a prime ideal, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{rad } \mathfrak{p}^m = \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Fix $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{p}^m$. Then $a^n \in \mathfrak{p}^m$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and since $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^m$ it follows that $a^n \in \mathfrak{p}$. But as $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal, this implies $a \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Conversely, fix $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $a^m \in \mathfrak{p}^m$, so that $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{p}$. $\square$

Definition 2.15. Let R be a ring. The set of all nilpotent elements of R :

$$\text{Nil } R = \{a \in R \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} a^n = 0\}$$

is called the *nilradical* of R .

Remark 2.16. Let R be a ring. Then $\text{Nil } R \triangleleft R$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in \text{Nil } R$. Then $a^n = 0$ and $b^m = 0$, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b)^{n+m} &= a^n a^m + \binom{n+m}{1} a^n a^{m-1} b + \dots + \binom{n+m}{m} a^n b^m \\ &\quad + \binom{n+m}{m+1} a^{n-1} b^m b + \dots + b^n b^m \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $a+b \in \text{Nil } R$. Clearly, for $r \in R$, also $(ra)^n = r^n a^n = 0$, hence $ra \in \text{Nil } R$. $\square$

Proposition 2.17. Let R be a ring. Then

$$\text{Nil } R = \bigcap \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \}.$$

Proof. Denote $A = \bigcap \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \}$. Fix $a \in \text{Nil } R$, and in order to show that $a \in A$, fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R$. As $a^n = 0$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, this implies that $a^n = a^{n-1} a = 0 \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, either $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, or $a^{n-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$ – in the latter case a simple inductive argument follows.

For the other inclusion fix $a \in R$ and assume $a \notin \text{Nil } R$. Thus $a^n \neq 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \{ \mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R \mid a^n \notin \mathfrak{a}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

By our assumption, $(0) \in \mathcal{R}$. One also easily verifies that if $\mathcal{L}$ is a chain of ideals from $\mathcal{R}$, then also $\bigcup \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{R}$. Thus, by Zorn's Lemma, the family $\mathcal{R}$ has a maximal element $\mathfrak{p}$.

We shall show that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal. Fix $x, y \in R$ and assume that both $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} + (x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} + (y),$$

which, by the maximality of $\mathfrak{p}$, means that $\mathfrak{p} + (x), \mathfrak{p} + (y) \notin \mathcal{R}$, that is, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$a^n \in \mathfrak{p} + (x) \quad \text{and} \quad a^m \in \mathfrak{p} + (y).$$

But then

$$a^{n+m} \in (\mathfrak{p} + (x)) \cdot (\mathfrak{p} + (y)) = \mathfrak{p}^2 + \mathfrak{p} \cdot (x) + \mathfrak{p} \cdot (y) + (xy).$$

Since $\mathfrak{p}^2 + \mathfrak{p} \cdot (x) + \mathfrak{p} \cdot (y) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ this means $a^{n+m} \in \mathfrak{p} + (xy)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} + (xy) \notin \mathcal{R}$, and, in particular, $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$ (for otherwise $\mathfrak{p} + (xy) = \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{R}$). This proves that $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime.

Now, $a^n \notin \mathfrak{p}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and, in particular, $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$. This means $a \notin A$. $\square$

Remark 2.18. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R$. If $\text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ is a maximal ideal, then $\mathfrak{a}$ is primary.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal ideal and let $\kappa: R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a}$ be the canonical epimorphism. Then, for $a \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(a + \mathfrak{a})^n = \bar{0} \in R/\mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow a^n \in \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow a \in \mathfrak{m},$$

that is $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ equals the nilradical of $R/\mathfrak{a}$. Since $\text{Nil } R/\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap \{\mathfrak{P} \mid \mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{a}\}$, it follows that $\kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{P}) \triangleleft R$ and $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{P})$, for $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{a}$. But, as $\mathfrak{m}$ is maximal, this, in fact, means $\mathfrak{m} = \kappa^{-1}(\mathfrak{P})$, for $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{a}$. Hence $R/\mathfrak{a}$ contains exactly one prime ideal, which is equal to $\text{Nil } R/\mathfrak{a}$. Consequently, $R/\mathfrak{a}$ contains only one maximal ideal, namely $R/\mathfrak{a}$. Therefore every element of $R/\mathfrak{a}$ outside $\text{Nil } R/\mathfrak{a}$ is a unit, for otherwise it would be contained in one of the maximal ideals of $R/\mathfrak{a}$. Thus every zero divisor of $R/\mathfrak{a}$ has to be nilpotent, and by Lemma 2.4.ii the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is primary. $\square$

Lemma 2.19. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft R$ be a primary ideal. Then $\text{rad } \mathfrak{q}$ is prime.

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ and assume that $ab \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}$. Thus $a^n b^n = (ab)^n \in \mathfrak{q}$. If $a^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ then $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}$. If $a^n \notin \mathfrak{q}$, then, as $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary, $b^{nm} = (b^n)^m \in \mathfrak{q}$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. But then $b \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}$. $\square$

Definition 2.20. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{q} \triangleleft R$ be a primary ideal and let $\mathfrak{p} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}$ is called **$\mathfrak{p}$ -primary**.

Remark 2.21. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft R$ be a maximal ideal, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathfrak{m}^m$ is $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft R$ be a maximal ideal and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathfrak{m}$ is also prime, and by Remark 2.14 $\text{rad } \mathfrak{m}^m = \mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal. But then, by Remark 2.18, it is primary. $\square$

Lemma 2.22. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$ be $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary. Then $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ is $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$ be $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary and denote $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$. By Remark 2.13.5

$$\text{rad } \mathfrak{q} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n = \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}_n = \mathfrak{p} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p},$$

and it remains to show that $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary. Let $a, b \in R$ and assume $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$ with $b \notin \mathfrak{q}$. In particular, $b \notin \mathfrak{q}_{i_0}$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. At the same time, $ab \in \mathfrak{q}_{i_0}$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{i_0}$ is primary, so that $a^k \in \mathfrak{q}_{i_0}$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $a \in \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}_{i_0} = \mathfrak{p}$. But we have already shown that $\mathfrak{p} = \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}$, so that $a^m \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This proves that $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary. $\square$

Definition 2.23. Let R be a ring, let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R$ be a proper ideal and let

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$$

be a primary decomposition of $\mathfrak{a}$. If

$$\mathfrak{q}_j \not\supseteq \bigcap_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{q}_i$$

and

$$\text{rad } \mathfrak{q}_i \neq \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}_j \text{ for } i \neq j,$$

then the primary decomposition $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ is called *minimal*.

Theorem 2.24. (Noether-Lasker) *Let R be a Noetherian ring, let $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R$ be a proper ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ has a minimal primary decomposition and the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i = \text{rad } \mathfrak{q}_i$ are uniquely determined up to the order.*