

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Sławomir Turek, Szymon Plewik and Aleksander Błaszczyk
**Topological multidimensional van der Waerden
theorem**

Sławomir Turek and Szymon Plewik and Aleksander Błaszczyk.
Topological multidimensional van der Waerden theorem.
Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae **030**
(1989), no. 4, pages 783–787.

MSC 05A17, 05A18.

Zbl 0691.54027, MR 1045910, DMLCZ 106802.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106802>.

Terms of use:

© Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University, Prague, 2008

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University, Prague, provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*:
The Czech Digital Mathematics Library <http://project.dml.cz>

Topological multidimensional van der Waerden theorem

ALEKSANDER BŁASZCZYK, SZYMON PLEWIK, SŁAWOMIR TUREK

Abstract. We give a topological proof of the multidimensional van der Waerden theorem.

Keywords: multidimensional van der Waerden theorem, minimal dynamical system,

Classification: 54H20, 05A17

Responding to Furstenberg [4] we describe a direct proof of the topological version of multidimensional van der Waerden theorem. This theorem says that if X is a compact space and (X, G) is a minimal dynamical system, where G is a commutative group of homeomorphisms, then for each non-empty open set $U \subset X$ and $T_1, \dots, T_k \in G$ there exists a natural number $n \geq 1$ such that $T_1^n(U) \cap \dots \cap T_k^n(U) \neq \emptyset$.

Furstenberg and Weiss [6] gave a direct proof of this theorem in the metric case (cf. also [5] and [7]) and derived the multidimensional van der Waerden theorem from it. We give a proof valid for all compact spaces and describe another way for obtaining the multidimensional van der Waerden theorem from its topological version.

If X is a topological space and G a group of its homeomorphisms, then the pair (X, G) is called a minimal dynamical system if there is no proper closed subset $F \subset X$ such that $T(F) = F$ for each $T \in G$. If X is compact, then (X, G) is minimal iff for each non-empty open set $U \subset X$ there exist $S_1, \dots, S_n \in G$ such that $X = S_1(U) \cup \dots \cup S_n(U)$.

Theorem 1. (Topological Multidimensional van der Waerden Theorem)

Let X be a compact topological space and G a commutative group of its homeomorphisms such that the dynamical system (X, G) is minimal. Then for each non-empty open set $V \subset X$ and each finite set $\{T_1, \dots, T_k\} \subset G$ there exists a natural number $n \geq 1$ such that $V \cap T_1^n(V) \cap \dots \cap T_k^n(V) \neq \emptyset$

PROOF : We proceed by the induction on k .

1. Assume $k = 1$. Fix $T \in G$ and let $V \subset X$ be a non-empty open set. Since (X, G) is minimal, there exists $S_1, \dots, S_p \in G$ such that $S_1(V) \cup \dots \cup S_p(V) = X$. We construct a sequence W_0, W_1, \dots of non-empty open sets such that:

- (a) $W_0 = V$,
- (b) $T^{-1}(W_n) \subset W_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$,
- (c) for every n there exists $t, 1 \leq t \leq p$, such that $W_n \subset S_t(V)$.

For the definition of W_{n+1} we choose a natural number t such that $1 \leq t \leq p$ and $W_{n+1} = T(W_n) \cap S_t(V) \neq \emptyset$.

If the sequence W_0, W_1, \dots is defined, then we choose natural numbers i, j and t such that $i < j$ and $W_i \cup W_j \subset S_t(V)$. We set $U = S_t^{-1}(W_j)$ and $n = j - i$. By (b) we get

$T^{-n}(U) = T^{-n}(S_i^{-1}(W_j)) = S_i^{-1}(T^{-n}(W_j)) \subset S_i^{-1}(T^{-n+1}(W_{j-1})) \subset \dots \subset S_i^{-1}(W_i) \subset V$. Therefore $U \subset T^n(V)$, $U \subset V$ and $V \cap T^n(V) \neq \emptyset$.

2. Assume the theorem is true for every collection of k elements of G . Fix a non-empty open set $V \subset X$ and $T_1, \dots, T_{k+1} \in G$. Since the choice of transformations is free (we can set T_1^{-1} instead T_i) it suffices to show that there exists an open non-empty set $W \subset X$ such that $W \cup T_1^n(W) \cup \dots \cup T_{k+1}^n(W) \subset V$ holds for some $n \geq 1$

By the minimality of (X, G) there exists $S_1, \dots, S_p \in G$ such that $S_1(V) \cup \dots \cup S_p(V) = X$.

Inductively we construct a sequence W_0, W_1, \dots of non-empty open sets and a sequence p_0, p_1, \dots of natural numbers such that :

- (A) $W_0 = V$ and $p_0 = 0$,
- (B) $T_1^{p_n}(W_n) \cup \dots \cup T_{k+1}^{p_n}(W_n) \subset (W_{n-1})$ for every n ,
- (C) for every n there exists t , $1 \leq t \leq p$, such that $W_n \subset S_t(V)$.

If W_{n-1} and p_{n-1} are defined, then we apply induction assumption for W_{n-1} and homeomorphisms $T_{k+1} \circ T_1^{-1}, \dots, T_{k+1} \circ T_k^{-1}$. There exists a natural number p_n such that

$$W_{n-1} \cap (T_{k+1} \circ T_1^{-1})^{p_n}(W_{n-1}) \cap \dots \cap (T_{k+1} \circ T_k^{-1})^{p_n}(W_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset$$

For some t , $1 \leq t \leq p$, we get

$$W_n = T_{k+1}^{-p_n}(W_{n-1}) \cap T_1^{-p_n}(W_{n-1}) \cap \dots \cap T_k^{-p_n}(W_{n-1}) \cap S_t(V) \neq \emptyset.$$

It is easy to see that conditions (B) and (C) hold for W_n and p_n .

If the sequence W_0, W_1, \dots is defined, then we choose natural numbers i, j and t such that $i < j$, $1 \leq t \leq p$ and $W_i \cup W_j \subset S_t(V)$. We set $n = p_{i+1} + \dots + p_j$. For $1 \leq r \leq k+1$ we get $T_r^n(W_j) \subset W_i$. Indeed, $T_r^n(W_j) = T_r^{p_{i+1} + \dots + p_j}(W_j) \subset T_r^{p_{i+1} + \dots + p_{j-1}}(W_{j-1}) \subset T_r^{p_{i+1}}(W_{i+1}) \subset W_i$.

Let $W = S_t^{-1}(W_j)$. We have $W_j \subset S_t(V)$ and $W \subset V$. For $1 \leq r \leq k+1$, by the commutativity of G , we get

$$T_r^n(W) = T_r^n(S_t^{-1}(W_j)) = S_t^{-1}(T_r^n(W_j)) \subset S_t^{-1}(W_i) \subset V,$$

which finishes the proof. ■

Corollary 1. Let T_1, \dots, T_k be a commuting family of one-to-one continuous functions of a compact space X into itself and P be an open cover of X . Then there exists a natural number $n \geq 1$ such that $T_1^{-n}(U) \cap \dots \cap T_k^{-n}(U) \neq \emptyset$ for some $U \in P$.

PROOF : Consider minimal closed set $Y \subset X$ such that $T_i(Z) \subset Z$ for every $i \leq k$; one has to use Zorn Lemma to obtain such a set. The minimality of Z follows that $T_i(Z) = Z$ for any $i \leq k$. Indeed, suppose $T_i(Z) = Y \subsetneq Z$ for some $i \leq k$. Then for every $j \leq k$,

$$T_j(Y) = T_j(T_i(Z)) = T_i(T_j(Z)) \subset T_i(Z) = Y$$

Since $Y \neq Z$, we get a contradiction.

Set $G_i = T_i/Z$ for all $i \leq k$. Then the family $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ is a commuting family of homeomorphisms of Z into itself. The choice of the set Z follows that the system (Z, H) , where H is the group of homeomorphisms of Z induced by $\{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ is a minimal dynamical system. Now it suffices to choose $U \in P$ such that $U \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and apply the Theorem 1.

Let βS denotes the Čech-Stone compactification of a (Tychonoff) space S . If S is a discrete space, βS is just the set of all ultrafilters over the set S ; see [2] for details. In this case the topology on βS is generated by the family $\{U^* : U \subset S\}$, where $U^* = \{v \in \beta S : U \in v\}$. Clearly $(U \cap V)^* = U^* \cap V^*$ and if $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is a partition of S , then $\{U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*\}$ is an open partition of βS . For every mapping f from S into S , the formula $\bar{f}(v) = \{U \subset S : f^{-1}(U) \in v\}$ defines the unique continuous extension of f over βS . One can easily check that $\bar{f}^{-1}(U^*) = (\overline{f^{-1}(U)})^*$ for every $U \subset S$. Also, if $g : S \rightarrow S$ is another function, then $\bar{f} \circ \bar{g} = \overline{f \circ g}$. In particular, if f and g commutes, then \bar{f} and \bar{g} commutes as well. Clearly, \bar{f} is one-to-one whenever f is one-to-one.

Let N denotes the set of natural numbers and $N^r = \{(k_1, \dots, k_r)\} : k_i \in N \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r$. If $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_r)$ and $n \in N$, then $b + na = (b_1 + na_1, \dots, b_r + na_r)$. ■

Theorem 2. (Multidimensional van der Waerden Theorem) *If $\{U_1, \dots, U_p\}$ is a partition of N^r then one the sets U_i has the property, that for every finite set $F \subset N^r$ there exists $n \in N$ and $b \in N^r$ such that $b + na \in U_i$ for all $a \in F$.*

PROOF : Clearly, every finite set $F \subset N^r$ is contained in a cube $\{1, \dots, k\}^r = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$, $t = k^r$, for some $k \in N$. Since the partition is finite, it suffices to show that there exists $b \in N^r$ and $q \leq p$ such that for some $n \in N$, $\{b + na_1, \dots, b + na_t\} \subset U_q$. To do this let us consider functions $f_j : N^r \rightarrow N^r$ defined by $f_j(x) = x + a_j$ for $j \leq t$. Clearly, $\{\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_t\}$ is a commuting family of one-to-one continuous functions of βN^r into itself. By the Corollary there exist $q \leq p$ and a natural number $n \geq 1$ such that

$$\bar{f}_1^{-n}(U_q^*) \cap \dots \cap \bar{f}_t^{-n}(U_q^*) \neq \emptyset$$

Then, by the remarks preceding the theorem, we get

$$f_1^{-n}(U_q) \cap \dots \cap f_t^{-n}(U_q) \neq \emptyset$$

Take a point b belonging to this set. Then for every $j \leq t$ we have

$$b + na_j = f_j^n(b) \in U_q;$$

which completes the proof. ■

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are in fact equivalent. The lacking implication can be obtained by use of the trick from Balcar, Kalašek and Williams [1].

The next Proposition enable us to formulate Theorem 1 in a slight stronger form.

Proposition. *If X is a compact space and G is a commutative semigroup of continuous mappings of X onto itself, then there exist a compact space \tilde{X} and a continuous mapping $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $w(\tilde{X}) \leq w(X) + |G| + w$ and for every $g \in G$ there exists a unique homeomorphism $\tilde{g} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ satisfying condition $\pi \circ \tilde{g} = g \circ \pi$. Moreover, if (X, G) is a minimal dynamical system, then $(\tilde{X}, \{\tilde{g} : g \in G\})$ is a minimal system as well.*

PROOF : We define a partial ordering on G : we say that $f_1 \leq f_2$ whenever there exists $h \in G$ such that $f_1 \circ h = f_2$, By commutativity of G , h is unique. Indeed, if $f_1 \circ h = f_2$ and $f_1 \circ g = f_2$, then $g \circ f_1 = h \circ f_1$. Hence $h = g$, because f_1 is "onto". Observe that the ordering is directed, e.i. for any $f, g \in G$ there exists $h \in G$ satisfying $f \leq h$ and $g \leq h$. To do this it suffices to set $h = f \circ g$ and use the commutativity of G .

Now consider the inverse system $\zeta = \{X_f, \pi_f^g, G\}$, where $X_f = X$ for every $f \in G$ and $\pi_f^g = h$, where h is the unique element of G such that $g \circ h = f$; see Engelking [3] for the notions not explained here. Let $X = \lim_{\leftarrow} \zeta$, e.i. $\tilde{X} = \{x \in \prod \{X_f : f \in G\} : \text{for every } f, g \in G, g \leq f \text{ implies } x_g = \pi_g^f(x_f)\}$. For every $f \in G$, $\pi_f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X_f$ is the canonical projection, e.i. $\pi_f(x) = x_f$. Clearly, the weight of \tilde{X} is not greater than the weight of the product $\prod \{X_f : f \in G\}$ and so it is not than the greatest cardinal among $w(X)$, $|G|$ and ω .

Every mapping $g \in G$ appoints a morphism of the system ζ into itself. This morphism is the identity in the set of indexes and for every $f \in G$ the mapping of X_f onto X_f equals g . This is indeed a morphism of inverse system since $g \circ \pi_h^f = \pi_h^f \circ g$ whenever $h \leq f$ and $f, g \in G$. Thus we get a unique continuous mapping $\tilde{g} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\pi_f \circ \tilde{g} = g \circ \pi_f$ holds true for every $f \in G$. We set $\pi = \pi_f$, where f is an arbitrary element of G . Since X is compact and g is "onto" (all bonding mappings are "onto"), it suffices to show that g is one-to-one. To do this fix different elements $x, y \in \tilde{X}$. There exists $f \in G$ such that $x_f \neq y_f$. We set $h = g \circ f$. Since $f \leq h$, $\pi_f = \pi_h^f \circ \pi_h$. But $\pi_h^f = g$. Thus $g(\pi_h(x)) \neq g(\pi_h(y))$, which means that $\tilde{g}(x) \neq \tilde{g}(y)$.

It remains to show that the minimality of the systems (X, G) implies the minimality of $(\tilde{X}, \{\tilde{g} : g \in G\})$. Fix $x \in \tilde{X}$ and open non-empty set $U \subset \tilde{X}$. Since \tilde{X} is an inverse limit over a directed set, there exist $f \in G$ and a non-empty open set $W \subset X_f$ such that $\pi_f^{-1}(W) \subset U$. By the minimality of (X, G) , there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(\pi_f(x)) \in W$. Thus $\pi_f(\tilde{g}(x)) \in W$ and therefore $g(x) \in \pi_f^{-1}(W)$, which completes the proof. ■

Corollary 2. *If (X, G) is a minimal dynamical system, where X is a compact space and G is a commutative semigroup of continuous functions mapping X into itself, then for every non-empty open set $U \subseteq X$ and every $T_1, \dots, T_k \in G$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \cap T_1^{-n}(U) \cap \dots \cap T_k^{-n}(U) \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF : First observe that, by the minimality of (X, G) , all mappings from G have to be "onto". Then we use the Proposition. The family $\{\tilde{T} : T \in G\}$ generate a group of homeomorphisms of \tilde{X} into itself. By Theorem 1, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that

$$\pi^{-1}(U) \cap \tilde{T}_1^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \cap \dots \cap \tilde{T}_k^{-n}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since for every $T \in G$ there is $\tilde{T}^{-n}(\pi^{-1}(U)) = \pi^{-1}(U) = \pi(T^{-n}(U))$, we get

$$\pi^{-1}(U \cap T_1^{-n}(U) \cap \dots \cap T_k^{-n}(U)) \neq \emptyset,$$

which completes the proof. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Balcar, P. Kalásek and S.W. Williams, *On the multiple Birkhoff recurrence theorem in dynamics*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **28** (1987), 607-612.
- [2] W.W. Comfort, S. Negreponis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1974.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warszawa PWN, 1977.
- [4] H. Furstenberg, *Ergodic behaviour of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions*, J. d'Analyse Math. **31** (1977), 204-256.
- [5] H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1981.
- [6] H. Furstenberg, B. Weiss, *Topological dynamics and combinatorial number theory*, J. d'Analyse Math. **34** (1978), 61-85.
- [7] R.L. Graham, B.L. Ritschild and J.H. Spencer, *Ramsey theory*, J. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1980.

Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, ul. Bankowa 14, 40 007 Katowice, Poland

(Received May 5, 1989)