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Abstract. The main purpose of this note is to show a new sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of Markov operators acting on the space of signed measures. This condition is applied to stochastically perturbed dynamical systems, and iterated function system (IFS). They are formulated in terms of adjoint operators. This approach simplifies further applications.

Our results are based on two principles. The first one is the LaSalle invariance principle used in the theory of dynamical systems. The second is related to the Kantorovich-Rubinstein theorems concerning the properties of probability metrics.

1. Introduction

The Kantorovich-Rubinstein maximum principle is stimulated by the Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality theorem ([8, 12, 14]). The duality theorem has a long and colourful history, apparently originating in 18th century (1781) work of Monge on the transport of mass problem ([11]). Let $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are two Borel probability measures given on a separable metric space $X$, and let $V$ be the space of probability measures on $X \times X$ such that its projections on the first and second coordinates are $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$, respectively i.e.

$$V = V(\mu_1, \mu_2) := \{b \in M_1(X \times X); \Pi_1 b = \mu_1, \Pi_2 b = \mu_2\}$$

The measures $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ may be viewed as the initial and final distribution of mass and $V$ as the space of admissible transference plan. If the unit cost of shipment from $x$ to $y$ is $\varrho(x, y)$ then the total cost is

$$\int_{X \times X} \varrho(x, y)b(dx, dy).$$
Thus we see that minimization of transportation costs can be formulated in terms of finding a distribution on $X \times X$ whose marginals are fixed, and such that the double integral of the cost function is minimal. The duality Kantorovich-Rubinstein theorem can be stated as follows

$$\inf_{b \in V} \int_{X \times X} g(x, y)b(dx, dy) = \sup_{f \in H} \int_X f(x)(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(dx).$$

During the study of the classical Monge transport problem L. V. Kantorovich and G. S. Rubinstein discovered some interesting properties of the functional

$$\varphi_\mu(f) = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx) \quad \text{for} \quad \mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2.$$

In particular this functional always admits its maximum value on the set of Lipschitzian functions with Lipschitz constant $L \leq 1$. Moreover, every function which realizes the maximum of $\varphi_\mu$ satisfies the condition

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = g(x, y),$$

for some $x, y \in X, x \neq y$. This property will be called the Kantorovich-Rubinstein maximum principle. The maximum principle will be used to prove a new sufficient condition for asymptotic stability for Markov operators acting on the space of signed measures. This condition generalizes some previous results of A. Lasota ([9]), K. oskot and R. Rudnicki ([10]).

2. Kantorovich-Wasserstein metric in the space of measures

Let $(X, \rho)$ be a Polish space, i.e., a separable, complete metric space. By $\mathcal{B}_X$ we denote the $\sigma$–algebra of Borel subsets of $X$ and by $\mathcal{M}$ the family of all finite (nonnegative) Borel measures on $X$. By $\mathcal{M}_1$ we denote the subset of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mu(X) = 1$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$. The elements of $\mathcal{M}_1$ will be called distributions. Further let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}} = \{\mu_1 - \mu_2 : \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}\},$$

be the space of finite signed measures.

Let $c$ be a fixed element of $X$. For every real number $\alpha \geq 1$ we define the sets $\mathcal{M}_{1, \alpha}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}, \alpha}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{M}_{1, \alpha} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1 : m_\alpha(\mu) < \infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}, \alpha} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}} : m_\alpha(\mu) < \infty\}$$

where

$$m_\alpha(\mu) = \int_X (\rho(x, c))^\alpha |\mu|(dx).$$

Evidently $\mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}, \alpha} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}, \beta}$ for $\alpha \geq \beta$. It is evident that these spaces do not depend on the choice of $c$. For every $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}}$ we write

$$\langle f, \mu \rangle = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx),$$

(1)
whenever this integral exists. In the space $\mathcal{M}_1$ we introduce the Kantorovich-Wasserstein metric by the formula
\[
\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_K = \sup\{\|f, \mu_1 - \mu_2\| : f \in K\} \quad \text{for} \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1,
\]
where $K$ is the set of functions $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy the condition
\[
|f(x) - f(y)| \leq g(x, y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in X.
\]

3. Markov operators

An operator $P : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is called a Markov operator if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $P$ is positively linear
\[
P(\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2) = \lambda_1 P \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2
\]
for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}$,

(ii) $P$ preserves the measure of the space
\[
P\mu(X) = \mu(X) \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

Every Markov operator $P$ can be uniquely extended as a linear operator to the space of signed measures. Namely for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}}$ we define
\[
P\mu = P\mu_1 - P\mu_2, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2; \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

It is easy to verify that this definition of $P$ does not depend on the choice of $\mu_1, \mu_2$.

A Markov operator $P$ is called a regular operator if there exists an operator acting on the space of bounded Borel measurable functions $U : B(X) \to B(X)$ such that
\[
\langle Uf, \mu \rangle = \langle f, P\mu \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad f \in B(X), \mu \in \mathcal{M}.
\]

The operator $U$ is called dual to $P$.

If in addition $Uf \in C(X)$ for $f \in C(X)$, then the regular operator $P$ is called the Markov-Feller operator.

Setting $\mu = \delta_x$ in (4) we obtain
\[
(Uf)(x) = \langle f, P\delta_x \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad f \in B(X), x \in X,
\]
where $\delta_x \in \mathcal{M}_1$ is the point (Dirac) measure supported at $x$.

From formula (5) it follows immediately that $U$ is linear and satisfies the following conditions
\[
Uf \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad f \geq 0, \quad f \in B(X),
\]
\[
U1_X = 1_X,
\]
\[
Uf_n \downarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad f_n \downarrow 0, \quad f_n \in B(X).
\]

Here $f_n \downarrow 0$ means that the sequence $(f_n)$ is decreasing and pointwise converges to 0.

Conditions (6)–(8) allow to reverse the role of $P$ and $U$. Namely, if a linear operator $U$ satisfying (6)–(8) is given we may define a Markov operator $P : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ by setting
\[
P\mu(A) = \langle U1_A, \mu \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_X.
\]
The dual operator $U$ has a unique extension to the set of all Borel measurable nonnegative (not necessarily bounded) functions on $X$, such that formula (4) holds. Namely for a Borel measurable function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ we write
\[ Uf(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Uf_n(x), \]
where $(f_n), f_n \in B(X)$ is an increasing sequence of bounded Borel measurable functions converging pointwise to $f$. Since the sequence $(Uf_n)$ is increasing the limit $Uf$ exists. Further from the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem it follows that $Uf$ satisfies (4). This formula shows that the limit is defined in a unique way and does not depend on the particular choice of the sequence $(f_n)$. Evidently this extension is positively linear and monotonic.


A measure $\mu$ is called stationary (or invariant) with respect to a Markov operator $P$ if $P\mu = \mu$.

A Markov operator is called asymptotically stable if there is a stationary distribution $\mu_*$ such that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|P^n\mu - \mu_*\|_K = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1. \] (10)

A distribution $\mu_*$ satisfying (10) is unique.

For given $c \in X$ define
\[ \varrho_c(x) := \varrho(x, c) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in X. \]

An important role in the study of the asymptotic behaviour of Markov-Feller operator $P$ is played by the function $U\varrho_c$, where $U$ denotes the dual operator to $P$. Since $\varrho_c$ is continuous and nonnegative the function $U\varrho_c$ is well defined.

Using the Kantorovich-Rubinstein maximum principle, it is easy to give a sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of Markov operators acting on the space of signed measures ([3]). As before let $c$ be a fixed element of $X$ and let $\varrho^\alpha_c(x) := (\varrho(x, c))^\alpha$ for $x \in X$ and $\alpha > 0$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $P : \mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\text{sig}}$ be a Markov–Feller operator and let $U$ be its dual. Assume that
\[ |Uf(x) - Uf(y)| < \varrho(x, y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in X, \ x \neq y, \] (11)
and for every $f \in K$.

Moreover, we assume that there exist constants $A, B \geq 0$, and $\alpha > 1$, such that
\[ (U^n\varrho^\alpha_c)(x) \leq A\varrho^\alpha_c(x) + B \quad \text{for} \quad x \in X \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \] (12)
Then $P$ is asymptotically stable with respect to the Kantorovich-Wasserstein metric.
5. Discrete time stochastically perturbed dynamical system

We use this criterion to study stochastically perturbed dynamical systems. A discrete time dynamical system describes the evolution of points by means of one transformation \( x_{n+1} = S(x_n) \). But practice this evolution can be randomly modified. In this case we have a family of transformations \( \{S_y\}_{y \in Y} \) and at each step \( x_{n+1} \) is given by \( S_y(x_n) \), where \( y \) is randomly selected. Such a process can be described by a stochastically perturbed dynamical system.

Let \((\Omega, \Sigma, \text{prob})\) be a probability space and let \((Y, A)\) be a measurable space. We consider a discrete time stochastically perturbed dynamical system on a locally compact separable space \((X, \rho)\) given by the recurrence formula:

\[ x_{n+1} = S(x_n, \xi_n) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \tag{13} \]

where \( \xi_n : \Omega \to Y \) is a sequence of random elements, \( S : X \times Y \to X \) is a given deterministic transformation and \( S(x, y) := S_y(x) \). In our study of the asymptotic behaviour of (13) we assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) The function \( S \) is measurable on the product space \( X \times Y \) and for every fixed \( y \in Y \) the function \( S(\cdot, y) \) is continuous.

(ii) The random elements \( \xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots \) are independent and have the same distribution, i.e., the measure

\[ \varphi(A) = \text{prob}(\xi_n \in A) \quad \text{for} \quad A \in A, \]

is the same for all \( n \).

(iii) The initial value \( x_0 : \Omega \to X \) is a random element independent of the sequence \((\xi_n)\).

It is easy to derive a recurrence formula for the measures

\[ \mu_n(A) = \text{prob}(x_n \in A), \quad A \in B(X), \]

corresponding to the dynamical system (13). Namely \( \mu_{n+1} = P\mu_n, \ n = 0, 1, \ldots, \) where the operator \( P : M_1 \to M_1 \) is given by the formula

\[ P\mu(A) = \int \left( \int 1_A(S(x, y)) \varphi(dy) \right) \mu(dx). \tag{14} \]

The operator \( P \) is a Markov–Feller operator and its dual \( U \) has the form

\[ Uf(x) = \int f(S(x, y)) \varphi(dy) \quad \text{for} \quad f \in C(X). \tag{15} \]

Now define a sequence of functions \( S_n \) by setting

\[ S_1(x, y_1) = S(x, y_1), \quad S_n(x, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = S(S_{n-1}(x, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}), y_n). \]

Using this notation we have

\[ U^n f(x) = \int \ldots \int f(S_n(x, y_1, \ldots, y_n)) \varphi(dy_1) \ldots \varphi(dy_n). \]
Proposition 2. Assume that the mapping $S : X \times Y \to X$ and the sequence of random elements $(\xi_n)$ satisfy conditions (i)–(iii). Assume moreover that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, the mathematical expectation of $\varrho(S(x, \xi_n), S(x, \xi_n))$ satisfies
\[ E(\varrho(S(x, \xi_n), S(x, \xi_n))) < \varrho(x, x) \quad \text{for} \quad x, x \in X, \quad x \neq x, \quad (16) \]
and there exist constants $\alpha > 1$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that
\[ U^n \varrho_c^\alpha(x) \leq A \varrho_c^\alpha(x) + B, \quad \text{for} \quad x \in X, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \quad (17) \]

Then the operator $P$ defined by (14) is asymptotically stable with respect to the Kantorovich-Wasserstein metric. □

Using Proposition 2 it is easy to obtain a few known results concerning the stability of Markov operators.

In fact from Proposition 2 we immediately obtain as a special case the stability theorem of Lasota-Mackey (see [9], Theorem 2) where the conditions
\[ E(|S(x, \xi_n) - S(x, \xi_n)|) < |x - z| \quad \text{for} \quad x, z \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad x \neq z \]
and
\[ E(|S(x, \xi_n)^2|) \leq A|x|^2 + B \quad \text{for} \quad x \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \]
were assumed. The symbol $| \cdot |$ denotes an arbitrary, not necessary Euclidean, norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $A$ and $B$ are nonnegative constants with $A < 1$.

Furthermore, in the case when $X$ is a locally compact separable metric space, Proposition 2 contains a result of Łoskot and Rudnicki (see [10], Theorem 3). Namely, they proved the asymptotic stability of $P$ if
\[ \varrho(S(x, \xi_n), S(x, \xi_n)) \leq \lambda(y) \varrho(x, x) \quad \text{for} \quad x, \xi \in X \]
and
\[ E\varrho_c(S(c, \xi_1)) < \infty, \]
where $\lambda : Y \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and $E\lambda(\xi_1) < 1$.

In the special case when, $Y = \{1, \ldots, N\}$, the stochastic dynamical system (13) reduces to an \emph{iterated function system}
\[ (S_1, \ldots, S_N; p_1, \ldots, p_N) \quad \text{where} \quad S_k(x) = S(x, k) \quad \text{and} \quad p_k = \text{prob}(\xi_n = k). \]

Now operators (14) and (15) have the form:
\[ P\mu(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k \mu(S_k^{-1}(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad Uf(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k f(S_k(x)). \quad (18) \]

We will assume the following conditions:
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k \varrho(S_k(x), S_k(x)) < \varrho(x, x), \quad \text{for} \quad x, \xi \in X, \quad x \neq \xi \quad (19) \]
and
\[ \varrho(S_k(x), c) \leq L_k \varrho(x, c) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in X, \quad k = 1, \ldots, N, \quad (20) \]
where $c$ is a given point in $X$ and the constants $L_k$ are nonnegative constants.

In this case Proposition 2 implies the following result
Corollary 3. If the IFS \((S_1, \ldots, S_N; p_1, \ldots, p_N)\) satisfies conditions (19), (20) and there exists a constant \(\alpha > 1\) such that
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k L_k^\alpha < 1,
\]
then this system is asymptotically stable.

6. Biological application: Dynamical systems with multiplicative perturbations

Our sequences (13) have no typical properties which are usually assumed in the known versions of the limit theorems. In particular, the random variables are not independent. The central limit theorem may be extended to various cases when the variables in the sum are not independent. We shall here only indicate one of these extensions for dynamical systems with multiplicative perturbations, which has a considerable importance for various applications, especially to biological problems. It will be convenient to use a terminology directly connected with some of the biological applications.

We now turn our attention to dynamical systems with multiplicative perturbations of the form
\[
X_{n+1} = X_n + \xi_{n+1} g(X_n),
\]
where \(g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is integrable. Such dynamical systems have been considered in a biological context by H. Cramer in 20th century (1927) (see [2]) . It will be convenient to use a terminology directly connected with some of the biological applications. Suppose that we have \(n\) impulses \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\) acting the order of their indices. These we consider as independent random variables. Denote by \(X_n\) the size of the organ which is produced by the impulses \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\). We may then suppose e.g. that the increase caused by the impulse \(\xi_{n+1}\) is proportional to \(\xi_{n+1}\) and to some function \(g(X_n)\) of the momentary size the organ
\[
X_{n+1} = X_n + \xi_{n+1} * f(X_n) \iff \xi_{n+1} = \frac{X_{n+1} - X_n}{f(X_n)}.
\]

It follows that
\[
\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \ldots + \xi_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{X_{k+1} - X_k}{f(X_k)}.
\]

If each impulse only gives a slight contribution to the growth of the organ, we thus have approximately
\[
\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \ldots + \xi_n \approx \int_{X_0}^{X} \frac{dt}{f(t)},
\]
where \(X = X_n\) denotes the final size of the organ. By hypothesis \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n\) are independent variables, and \(n\) may be considered as a large number. Under the general regularity conditions of the central random theorem it thus follows that, in the limit,
the function of the random variable $X$ appearing in the second member is normally distributed. Consider the case $f(t) = t$.

$$\int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{dt}{t} = \ln t \bigg|_{x_0}^{x} = \ln \frac{X}{X_0}$$

The effect of each impulse is then directly proportional to the momentary size of the organ. In this case we thus find that $\log X$ is normally distributed.

If, more generally, $\log(X - a)$ is normal $(m, \sigma)$, it is easily seen that the variable $X$ itself has the logarithmico-normal distribution:

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma x} e^{-\frac{(\ln x - m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} & \text{dla } x > 0, \\
0 & \text{dla } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$
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