

Annales Mathematicae Silesianae **34** (2020), no. 2, 268–285 DOI: 10.2478/amsil-2020-0004

A GENERAL FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR TWO PAIRS OF ABSORBING MAPPINGS IN G_P -METRIC SPACES

VALERIU POPA

Abstract. A general fixed point theorem for two pairs of absorbing mappings satisfying a new type of implicit relation ([37]), without weak compatibility in G_p -metric spaces is proved. As applications, new results for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type and for ϕ -contractive mappings are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a metric space and S, T be two self mappings of X. In [19], Jungck defined S and T to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = t,$$

for some $t \in X$.

This concept has been frequently used to prove existence theorems in fixed point theory.

Received: 11.02.2019. Accepted: 07.03.2020. Published online: 08.05.2020.

⁽²⁰¹⁰⁾ Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

Key words and phrases: fixed point, G_p -metric space, absorbing mapping, limit range property, almost altering distance, implicit relation.

^{©2020} The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Let f, g be self mappings of a nonempty set X. A point $x \in X$ is a coincidence point of f and g if fx = gx. The set of all coincidence points of f and g is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(f, g)$.

The study of common fixed points for noncompatible mappings is also interesting, the work in this regard being initiated by Pant in [30]–[32].

Aamri and El-Moutawakil ([1]) introduced a generalization of noncompatible mappings.

DEFINITION 1.1 ([1]). Let S and T be self mappings of a metric space (X, d). We say that S and T satisfy (E.A)-property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = t,$$

for some $t \in X$.

REMARK 1.2. It is clear that two self mappings S and T of a metric space (X, d) are noncompatible if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$, but $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n)$ is nonzero or does not exist. Therefore, two noncompatible self mappings of a metric space (X, d) satisfy (E.A)-property.

In 2005, Liu et al. ([23]) defined the notion of common (E.A)-property.

DEFINITION 1.3 ([23]). Two pairs (A, S) and (B, T) of self mappings on a metric space (X, d) are said to satisfy *common* (E.A)-property if there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = t,$$

for some $t \in X$.

There exists a vast literature concerning the study of fixed points for mappings satisfying common (E.A)-property.

In 2011, Sintunavarat and Kumam ([48]) introduced the concept of common limit range property.

DEFINITION 1.4 ([48]). A pair (A, S) of self mappings on a metric space (X, d) is said to satisfy common limit range property with respect to S, denoted $CLR_{(S)}$ -property, if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = t,$$

for some $t \in S(X)$.

Thus, we can infer that a pair (A, S) satisfying (E.A)-property, along with the closedness of the subspace S(X), always has $CLR_{(S)}$ -property.

Recently, Imdad et al. ([17]) extended the notion of common limit range property for two pairs of mappings in metric spaces.

DEFINITION 1.5 ([17]). Two pairs (A, S) and (B, T) of self mappings of a metric space (X, d) are said to satisfy common limit range property with respect to S and T, denoted $CLR_{(S,T)}$ - property, if there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = t,$$

for some $t \in S(X) \cap T(X)$.

Some results for pairs of mappings satisfying $CLR_{(S)}$ - and $CLR_{(S,T)}$ -property are obtained in [15], [16], [18] and in other papers.

Quite recently, the present author introduced in [37] a new type of common limit range property.

DEFINITION 1.6 ([37]). Let A, S and T be self mappings of a metric space (X, d). The pair (A, S) is said to satisfy common limit range property with respect to T, denoted $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property, if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = t,$$

for some $t \in S(X) \cap T(X)$.

REMARK 1.7 ([37]). Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a metric space (X, d). If (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy $CLR_{(S,T)}$ -property, then A, S and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property.

DEFINITION 1.8 ([22]). An altering distance is a function $\psi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that

 $(\psi_1) \ \psi$ is increasing and continuous,

 $(\psi_2) \ \psi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

Fixed point theorems involving altering distances have been studied in [38], [44], [45] and in other papers.

The notion of almost altering distance is introduced in [41].

DEFINITION 1.9 ([41]). A function $\psi \colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is an almost altering distance if

 $(\psi_1) \ \psi$ is continuous, $(\psi_2) \ \psi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

2. Preliminaries

In [11], [12] Dhage introduced a new class of generalized metric spaces named *D*-metric spaces. Mustafa and Sims ([28], [29]) proved that most of the claims concerning the fundamental topological structures on *D*-metric spaces are incorrect and introduced an appropriate notion of generalized metric space, named *G*-metric spaces. In fact, Mustafa, Sims and other authors studied many fixed point results for self mappings in *G*-metric spaces under certain conditions in [27]–[29], [47] and in other papers.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([29]). Let X be a nonempty set and $G: X^3 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function satisfying the following properties:

 $(G_1) G(x, y, z) = 0$ if x = y = z,

 (G_2) 0 < G(x, x, y) for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

 (G_3) $G(x, y, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \neq y$,

- (G_4) $G(x, y, z) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables),
- (G_5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (triangle inequality).

The function G is called a G-metric on X and (X,G) is called a G-metric space.

REMARK 2.2. Let (X, G) be a *G*-metric space. If y = z, then G(x, y, y) is a quasi-metric on X ([36, Lemma 2.1]). Hence, (X, Q), where Q(x, y) = G(x, y, y) is a quasi-metric and since every metric space is a particular case of quasi-metric space it follows that the notion of *G*-metric space is a generalization of a metric space.

In 1994, Matthews ([25]) introduced the notion of partial metric space as a part of study of denotional semantics of dataflows networks and proved the Banach contraction principle in such spaces.

Quite recently, in [4], [9], [10], [20], [21] and in other papers, some fixed point theorems under various contractive conditions in partial metric spaces have been proved.

DEFINITION 2.3 ([25]). Let X be a nonempty set. A function $p: X^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be a *partial metric on* X if for all $x, y, z \in X$: $(P_1) \ p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y)$ if and only if x = y, $(P_2) \ p(x, x) \le p(x, y)$, $(P_3) \ p(x, y) = p(y, x)$, $(P_4) \ p(x, z) \le p(x, y) + p(y, z) - p(y, y)$. The pair (X, p) is called a *partial metric space*.

REMARK 2.4. Obviously, every metric space is a partial metric space.

Quite recently, Ahmadi Zand and Dehghan Nezhad ([2]) introduced a generalization and unification of G-metric spaces and partial metric spaces, named G_p -metric spaces. Some fixed point results in G_p -metric spaces are obtained in [5]–[7], [33] and in other papers.

DEFINITION 2.5 ([2, 33]). Let X be a nonempty set. A function $G_p: X^3 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a G_p -metric on X if the following conditions are satisfied: $(G_{p1}) \ x = y = z$ if $G_p(x, y, z) = G_p(x, x, x) = G_p(y, y, y) = G_p(z, z, z)$, $(G_{p2}) \ 0 \le G_p(x, x, x) \le G_p(x, x, y) \le G_p(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $y \ne z$, $(G_{p3}) \ G_p(x, y, z) = G_p(y, z, x) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables), $(G_{p4}) \ G_p(x, y, z) \le G_p(x, a, a) + G_p(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$. The pair (X, G_p) is called a G_p -metric space.

LEMMA 2.6 ([5]). Let (X, G_p) be a G_p -metric space. Then: 1) if $G_p(x, y, z) = 0$, then x = y = z, 2) if $x \neq y$, then $G_p(x, y, y) > 0$.

DEFINITION 2.7 ([5]). Let (X, G_p) be a G_p -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points in X. A point $x \in X$ is said to be the *limit of the* sequence $\{x_n\}$, denoted by $x_n \to x$, if $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} G_p(x, x_n, x_m) = G_p(x, x, x)$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G_p -convergent to x.

LEMMA 2.8 ([5]). Let (X, G_p) be a G_p -metric space. Then, for any $\{x_n\}$ in X and $x \in X$, the following conditions are equivalent:

a) $\{x_n\}$ is G_p -convergent to x,

b) $G_p(x_n, x_n, x) \to G_p(x, x, x)$ as $n \to \infty$,

c) $G_p(x_n, x, x) \to G_p(x, x, x)$ as $n \to \infty$.

LEMMA 2.9 ([5]). If $x_n \to x$ in a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) and $G_p(x, x, x) = 0$, then for every $y \in X$

- a) $\lim_{n \to \infty} G_p(x_n, y, y) = G_p(x, y, y),$
- b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} G_p(x_n, x_n, y) = G_p(x, x, y).$

DEFINITION 2.10 ([46]). Let A, S and T be self mappings of a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) . The pair (A, S) satisfy (A, S) common limit range property with respect to T, if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z$$

for some $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

The notion of absorbing mappings is introduced in [13, 14, 26] and in other papers.

We introduce the notion of absorbing mapping in G_p -metric spaces.

DEFINITION 2.11. Let A and S be self mappings of a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) . Then

1) A is called S absorbing if there exists $R \ge 0$ such that

$$G_p(Sx, SAx, SAx) \leq RG_p(Sx, Ax, Ax), \ \forall x \in X.$$

Similarly, S is A absorbing.

2) A is called *pointwise* S absorbing if for given $x \in X$, there exists $R \ge 0$ such that

$$G_p(Sx, SAx, SAx) \leq RG_p(Sx, Ax, Ax).$$

Similarly, S is pointwise A absorbing.

3. Implicit relations

Several classical fixed point theorems and common fixed point theorems have been unified considering a general condition by an implicit relation in [34, 35] and in other papers.

The study of fixed points for a pair of mappings satisfying an implicit relation in G-metric spaces is initiated in [39] and [40].

The study of fixed points for a pair of mappings with common limit range property satisfying implicit relations is initiated in [15].

The study of fixed points for pairs of mappings with common limit range property in G-metric spaces is initiated in [41].

Recently, fixed point results for mappings satisfying an implicit relation in partial metric spaces are obtained in [49].

Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying implicit relations in G_p metric spaces are obtained in [42, 43].

In 2008, Ali and Imdad ([3]) introduced a new type of implicit relations.

Let \mathcal{F} be the family of lower semi-continuous functions $F \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

 (F_1) $F(t, 0, t, 0, 0, t) > 0, \forall t > 0,$

 $(F_2) \ F(t,0,0,t,t,0) > 0, \ \forall t > 0,$

 (F_3) $F(t,t,0,0,t,t) > 0, \forall t > 0.$

EXAMPLE 3.1. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - k \max\{t_2, t_3, \ldots, t_6\}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$.

EXAMPLE 3.2. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - k \max\left\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}\right\}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$.

EXAMPLE 3.3. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - k \max\left\{t_2, \frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}\right\}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$.

EXAMPLE 3.4. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - b \max\{t_3, t_4\} - c \max\{t_5, t_6\}$, where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and a + b + c < 1.

EXAMPLE 3.5. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \alpha \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4\} - (1 - \alpha)(at_5 + bt_6),$ where $\alpha \in (0, 1), a, b \ge 0$ and a + b < 1.

EXAMPLE 3.6. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - b(t_3 + t_4) - c \min\{t_5, t_6\}$, where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and a + b + c < 1.

EXAMPLE 3.7. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - \frac{b(t_5 + t_6)}{1 + t_3 + t_4}$, where $a, b \ge 0$ and a + 2b < 1.

EXAMPLE 3.8. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \max\{ct_2, ct_3, ct_4, at_5 + bt_6\}$, where $c \in (0, 1)$, $a, b \ge 0$ and a + b < 1.

Other examples are in [15].

The purpose of this paper is to prove a general fixed point theorem for two pairs of absorbing mappings satisfying a new type of common limit range property in G_p -metric spaces. As applications we obtain new results for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type and for φ -contractive mappings.

4. Main results

THEOREM 4.1. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) such that

(4.1)
$$F\left(\psi\left(G_p(Ax, By, By)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Sx, Ty, Ty)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Ax, Sx, Sx)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Ty, By, By)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Sx, By, By)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Ax, Ty, Ty)\right)\right) \le 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and ψ is an almost altering distance.

If (A, S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property, then $C(A, S) \neq \emptyset \neq C(B, T)$. Moreover, if A is pointwise S absorbing and B is pointwise T absorbing, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

PROOF. The proof that Bu = Tu = z = Av = Sv for some $u, v \in X$ and $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$ is similar to the first part of the proof of [46, Theorem 4.1]. Fix now the points u, v, z satisfying these properties.

If A is pointwise S absorbing, there exists $R_1 \ge 0$ such that

$$G_p\left(Sv, SAv, SAv\right) \le R_1 G_p\left(Sv, Av, Av\right) = R_1 G_p\left(z, z, z\right) = 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.6 (1), z = Sv = SAv = Sz and z is a fixed point of S. By (4.1) for x = z and y = u we obtain

$$F(\psi(G_p(Az, Bu, Bu)), \psi(G_p(Sz, Tu, Tu)), \psi(G_p(Az, Sz, Sz))), \psi(G_p(Tu, Bu, Bu)), \psi(G_p(Sz, Bu, Bu)), \psi(G_p(Az, Tu, Tu))) \le 0, F(\psi(G_p(Az, z, z)), 0, \psi(G_p(Az, z, z)), 0, 0, \psi(G_p(Az, z, z))) \le 0,$$

a contradiction of (F_1) if $\psi(G_p(Az, z, z)) > 0$. Hence, $G_p(Az, z, z) = 0$ which implies by Lemma 2.6 (1) that z = Az = Sz. Therefore, z is a common fixed point of A and S with G(z, z, z) = 0.

If B is pointwise T absorbing, there exists $R_2 \ge 0$ such that

$$G_p(Tu, TBu, TBu) \le R_2 G_p(Tu, Bu, Bu) = R_2 G_p(z, z, z) = 0.$$

Hence, z = Tu = TBu = Tz and z is a fixed point of T.

By (4.1) for x = v and y = z we obtain

$$\begin{split} F\left(\psi\left(G_p(Av, Bz, Bz)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Sv, Tz, Tz)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Av, Sv, Sv)\right), \\ \psi\left(G_p(Tz, Bz, Bz)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Sv, Bz, Bz)\right), \psi\left(G_p(Av, Tz, Tz)\right)\right) &\leq 0, \\ F\left(\psi\left(G_p(z, Bz, Bz)\right), 0, 0, \psi\left(G_p(z, Bz, Bz)\right), \psi\left(G_p(z, Bz, Bz)\right), 0\right) &\leq 0, \end{split}$$

a contradiction of (F_2) if $\psi(G_p(z, Bz, Bz)) > 0$. Hence, $G_p(z, Bz, Bz) = 0$ which implies z = Bz = Tz and z is a common fixed point of B and T with G(z, z, z) = 0.

Hence, z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$. Suppose that there exists another common fixed point z_1 for A, B, S and

T with $G_p(z_1, z_1, z_1) = 0$. Then, by (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} F\left(\psi\left(G_{p}(Az, Bz_{1}, Bz_{1})\right), \psi\left(G_{p}(Sz, Tz_{1}, Tz_{1})\right), \psi\left(G_{p}(Az, Sz, Sz)\right), \\ \psi\left(G_{p}(Tz_{1}, Bz_{1}, Bz_{1})\right), \psi\left(G_{p}(Sz, Bz_{1}, Bz_{1})\right), \psi\left(G_{p}(Az, Tz_{1}, Tz_{1})\right)\right) &\leq 0, \\ F\left(\psi\left(G_{p}(z, z_{1}, z_{1})\right), \psi\left(G_{p}(z, z_{1}, z_{1})\right), 0, 0, \\ \psi\left(G_{p}(z, z_{1}, z_{1})\right), \psi\left(G_{p}(z, z_{1}, z_{1})\right)\right) &\leq 0, \end{split}$$

a contradiction of (F_3) if $\psi(G_p(z, z_1, z_1)) > 0$. Hence, $G_p(z, z_1, z_1) = 0$ which implies by Lemma 2.6 (1) that $z = z_1$. Hence, z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

REMARK 4.2. In [46, Theorem 4.1], the fact that z is the unique point of coincidence of (A, S) and (B, T) must be completed with the additional assumption, namely that $G_p(Sx, Sx, Sx) = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{C}(A, S)$ and $G_p(Ty, Ty, Ty) = 0$ for $y \in \mathcal{C}(B, T)$.

A similar remark refers to [46, Theorems 4.2, 5.2–5.5].

If $\psi(t) = t$, by Theorem 4.1 we obtain

THEOREM 4.3. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) such that

$$F(G_p(Ax, By, By), G_p(Sx, Ty, Ty), G_p(Ax, Sx, Sx),$$
$$G_p(Ty, By, By), G_p(Sx, By, By), G_p(Ax, Ty, Ty)) \le 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and some $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

If (A, S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property, then $\mathcal{C}(A, S) \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}(B, T)$.

Moreover, if A is pointwise S absorbing and B is pointwise T absorbing, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

THEOREM 4.4. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) such that

$$\begin{split} G_p(Ax, By, By) &\leq k \max \left\{ G_p(Sx, Ty, Ty), G_p(Ax, Sx, Sx), \\ G_p(Ty, By, By), G_p(Sx, By, By), G_p(Ax, Ty, Ty) \right\}, \end{split}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$.

If (A, S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property, then $C(A, S) \neq \emptyset \neq C(B, T)$. Moreover, if A is pointwise S absorbing and B is pointwise T absorbing, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z=0 with $G_p(z, z, z)=0$.

PROOF. It follows by Theorem 4.3 and Example 3.1.

EXAMPLE 4.5 ([46]). Let X = [0,1] with $G_p(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$. Then (X, G_p) is a G_p -metric space. Let Ax = 0, $Sx = \frac{x}{x+1}$, $Bx = \frac{x}{3}$, Tx = x. Then $S(X) = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, T(X) = [0, 1], $S(X) \cap T(X) = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$. Then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z = 0 \in S(X) \cap T(X)$$

and $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$. Hence, (A, S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property. Note that

$$G_p\left(Sx, SAx, SAx\right) = \frac{x}{x+1}, \quad G_p\left(Sx, Ax, Ax\right) = \frac{x}{x+1}.$$

Hence,

$$G_p(Sx, SAx, SAx) \le R_1 G_p(Sx, Ax, Ax)$$
 for $R_1 \ge 1$.

Thus, A is pointwise S absorbing. We have also

$$G_p(Tx, TBx, TBx) = x, \quad G_p(Tx, Bx, Bx) = x.$$

Hence,

$$G_p(Tx, TBx, TBx) \le R_2 G_p(Tx, Bx, Bx) \quad \text{for } R_2 \ge 1.$$

Thus, B is T pointwise absorbing.

 \square

On the other hand,

$$G_p(Ax, By, By) = \frac{y}{3}, \quad G(Ty, By, By) = y.$$

Hence,

$$G_p(Ax, By, By) \le kG_p(Ty, By, By)$$

for $k \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right)$, which implies

$$G_{p}(Ax, By, By) \leq k \max \left\{ G_{p}(Sx, Ty, Ty), G_{p}(Ax, Sx, Sx), \\ G_{p}(Ty, By, By), G_{p}(Sx, By, By), G_{p}(Ax, Ty, Ty) \right\}$$

for $k \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right)$. By Theorem 4.4, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z = 0 with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

5. Applications

5.1. Fixed points for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type in G_p -metric spaces

In [8], Branciari established the following theorem, which opened the way to the study of fixed points for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type.

THEOREM 5.1 ([8]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $c \in (0, 1)$ and $f: X \to X$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\int_0^{d(fx,fy)} h(t)dt \le c \int_0^{d(x,y)} h(t)dt,$$

whenever $h: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue measurable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral) on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, such that $\int_0^{\varepsilon} h(t)dt > 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then f has a unique fixed point z such that for all $x \in X$, $z = \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n x$.

Some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type are obtained in [38].

LEMMA 5.2. Let $h: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be as in Theorem 5.1. Then $\psi(t) = \int_0^t h(x) dx$ is an almost altering distance.

PROOF. It follows by [38, Lemma 2.5].

THEOREM 5.3. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) such that

$$(5.1) \quad F\left(\int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,By,By)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx,Ty,Ty)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,Sx,Sx)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ty,By,By)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx,By,By)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx,By,By)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,Ty,Ty)} h(t) dt\right) \leq 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and h(t) is as in Theorem 5.1. If (A, S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property, then $\mathcal{C}(A, S) \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}(B, T)$.

Moreover, if A is pointwise S absorbing and B is pointwise T absorbing, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

PROOF. By Lemma 5.2, $\psi(t) = \int_0^t h(x) dx$ is an almost altering distance. Then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,By,By)} h\left(t\right) dt = \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Ax,By,By\right)\right), \\ &\int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx,Ty,Ty)} h\left(t\right) dt = \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Sx,Ty,Ty\right)\right), \\ &\int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,Sx,Sx)} h\left(t\right) dt = \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Ax,Sx,Sx\right)\right), \\ &\int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ty,By,By)} h\left(t\right) dt = \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Ty,By,By\right)\right), \\ &\int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx,By,By)} h\left(t\right) dt = \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Sx,By,By\right)\right), \\ &\int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,Ty,Ty)} h\left(t\right) dt = \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Ax,Ty,Ty\right)\right). \end{split}$$

By (5.1) we obtain

$$F\left(\psi\left(G_{p}\left(Ax, By, By\right)\right), \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Sx, Ty, Ty\right)\right), \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Ax, Sx, Sx\right)\right), \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Ty, By, By\right)\right), \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Sx, By, By\right)\right), \psi\left(G_{p}\left(Ax, Ty, Ty\right)\right)\right) \le 0,$$

which is inequality (4.1). Hence, the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied and Theorem 5.3 follows by Theorem 4.1. $\hfill \Box$

By Theorem 5.3 and Example 3.1 we obtain

THEOREM 5.4. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,By,By)} h(t) \, dt &\leq k \max \left\{ \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx,Ty,Ty)} h(t) \, dt, \\ \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,Sx,Sx)} h(t) \, dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ty,By,By)} h(t) \, dt, \\ \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx,By,By)} h(t) \, dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,Ty,Ty)} h(t) \, dt \right\}, \end{split}$$

where $k \in [0,1)$ and h(t) is as in Theorem 5.1. If (A,S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property, then $\mathcal{C}(A,S) \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}(B,T)$.

Moreover, if A is pointwise S absorbing and B is pointwise T absorbing, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

EXAMPLE 5.5 ([46]). Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $G_p(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$. Then (X, G_p) is a G_p -metric space. Consider the following mappings: $Ax = \frac{x}{2}$, Sx = 2x, Bx = 0, Tx = x. Then $S(X) = [0, \infty)$, $T(X) = [0, \infty)$, $S(X) \cap T(X) = [0, \infty)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = 0 = z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ and $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$. Hence, (A, S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property. Note that

$$G_p(Sx, SAx, SAx) = 2x, \quad G_p(Sx, Ax, Ax) = 2x.$$

Hence,

$$G_p(Sx, SAx, SAx) \le R_1 G_p(Sx, Ax, Ax) \quad \text{for } R_1 \ge 1$$

Thus, A is S pointwise absorbing. We have also

$$G_p(Tx, TBx, TBx) = x, \quad G_p(Tx, Bx, Bx) = x.$$

Hence,

$$G_p(Tx, TBx, TBx) \le R_2 G_p(Tx, Bx, Bx) \quad \text{for } R_2 \ge 1.$$

Thus, B is T pointwise absorbing. On the other hand,

$$G_p(Ax, By, By) = \frac{x}{2}, \quad G_p(Sx, Sx, Ax) = 2x.$$

Moreover

$$\int_0^{\frac{x}{2}} t dt \le \int_0^{2x} t dt.$$

Thus, for h(t) = t we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax,By,By)} h(t) dt \leq k \int_{0}^{G(Sx,Sx,Ax)} h(t) dt,$$

where $\frac{1}{16} \le k < 1$. Hence,

$$G_{p}(Ax, By, By) \leq k \max\left\{ \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx, Ty, Ty)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax, Sx, Sx)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ty, By, By)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Sx, By, By)} h(t) dt, \int_{0}^{G_{p}(Ax, Ty, Ty)} h(t) dt \right\},$$

where $\frac{1}{16} \le k < 1$. By Theorem 5.4, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z = 0with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

REMARK 5.6. By Theorem 5.1 and Examples 3.2–3.8 we obtain new particular results.

5.2. Fixed points for mappings satisfying φ -contractive conditions in G_p -metric spaces

As in [24], let Φ be the set of all real continuous nondecreasing functions $\varphi \colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that

1) $\varphi(t) < t$ for all t > 0,

2) $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.

The following functions $F \colon \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are in \mathcal{F} .

EXAMPLE 5.7. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi(\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\}).$

EXAMPLE 5.8. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi \left(\max \left\{ t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2} \right\} \right).$

EXAMPLE 5.9. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi \left(\max\left\{ t_2, \frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2} \right\} \right).$

EXAMPLE 5.10. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi \left(\max\{t_2, \sqrt{t_3 t_4}, \sqrt{t_3 t_5}, \sqrt{t_3 t_5}, \sqrt{t_4 t_6} \} \right).$

EXAMPLE 5.11. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi (at_2 + bt_3 + ct_4 + dt_5 + et_6)$, where $a, b, c, d, e \ge 0$ and $a + b + c + d + e \le 1$.

EXAMPLE 5.12. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi\left(at_2 + \frac{b\sqrt{t_5t_6}}{1+t_3+t_4}\right)$, where $a, b \ge 0$ and $a+b \le 1$.

EXAMPLE 5.13. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi \left(a t_2 + b \max\{t_3, t_4\} + c \max\{\frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}\} \right)$, where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and $a + b + c \le 1$.

EXAMPLE 5.14. $F(t_1, \ldots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi (at_2 + b \max \{2t_4 + t_5, 2t_4 + t_6, t_3 + t_5 + t_6\})$, where $a, b \ge 0$ and $a + b \le 1$.

By Theorem 4.3 and Example 5.7 we obtain

THEOREM 5.15. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a G_p -metric space (X, G_p) such that

$$G_p(Ax, By, By) \le \varphi \Big(\max\{G_p(Sx, Ty, Ty), G_p(Ax, Sx, Sx), \\G_p(Ty, By, By), G_p(Sx, By, By), G_p(Ax, Ty, Ty)\} \Big)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$.

If (A, S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property, then $C(A, S) \neq \emptyset \neq C(B, T)$. Moreover, if A is pointwise S absorbing and B is pointwise T absorbing, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$. EXAMPLE 5.16 ([46]). Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $G_p(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$. Then (X, G_p) is a G_p -metric space. Let A, B, S and T be as in Example 5.5. As in Example 5.5, (A, S) and T satisfy $CLR_{(A,S)T}$ -property, A is S pointwise absorbing and B is T pointwise absorbing. Moreover

$$G_p(Ax, By, By) = \frac{x}{2}, \quad G_p(Ax, Sx, Sx) = 2x.$$

Put $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$. Then $\varphi \in \Phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} G_p\left(Ax, By, By\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2}G_p\left(Ax, Sx, Sx\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\max\{G_p(Sx, Ty, Ty), G_p(Ax, Sx, Sx), \\ &G_p(Ty, By, By), G_p(Sx, By, By), G_p(Ax, Ty, Ty)\} \\ &= \varphi\left(\max\{G_p(Sx, Ty, Ty), G_p(Ax, Sx, Sx), \\ &G_p(Ty, By, By), G_p(Sx, By, By), G_p(Ax, Ty, Ty)\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.15, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z = 0 with $G_p(z, z, z) = 0$.

REMARK 5.17. By Theorem 4.3 and Examples 5.7-5.14 we obtain new particular results.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks the anonymous reviewers for carefully reading the manuscript and for their interesting comments and suggestions, which helped to obtain the new improved form of the paper.

References

- M. Aamri and D. El Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (2002), no. 1, 181–188.
- M.R. Ahmadi Zand and A. Dehghan Nezhad, A generalization of partial metric spaces, J. Contemp. Appl. Math. 1 (2011), no. 1, 86–93.
- [3] J. Ali and M. Imdad, An implicit function implies several contractive conditions, Sarajevo J. Math. 4(17) (2008), no. 2, 269–285.
- [4] I. Altun, F. Sola and H. Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology Appl. 157 (2010), no. 18, 2778–2785.
- [5] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar and P. Salimi, Some fixed point results in GP-metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. 2012, Art. ID 891713, 16 pp.

- [6] M.A. Barakat and A.M. Zidan, A common fixed point theorem for weak contractive maps in G_p-metric spaces, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. 23 (2015), no. 2, 309–314.
- [7] N. Bilgili, E. Karapınar and P. Salimi, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on GP-metric spaces, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 2013:39, 13 pp.
- [8] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 29 (2002), no. 9, 531–536.
- [9] K.P. Chi, E. Karapınar and T.D. Thanh, A generalized contraction principle in partial metric spaces, Math. Comput. Modelling 55 (2012), no. 5–6, 1673–1681.
- [10] L. Ćirić, B. Samet, H. Aydi and C. Vetro, Common fixed points of generalized contractions on partial metric spaces and an application, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2011), no. 6, 2398–2406.
- [11] B.C. Dhage, Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 8 (1992), no. 4, 329–336.
- [12] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and topological structure. I, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.) 46 (2000), no. 1, 3–24.
- [13] D. Gopal, A.S. Ranadive and U. Mishra, On some open problems of common fixed point theorems of noncompatible mappings, Proc. Math. Soc. BHU 20 (2004), 135–141.
- [14] D. Gopal, A.S. Ranadive and R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of absorbing maps, Bull. Marathwada Math. Soc. 9 (2008), no. 1, 43–48.
- [15] M. Imdad and S. Chauhan, Employing common limit range property to prove unified metrical common fixed point theorems, Int. J. Anal. 2013, Art. ID 763261, 10 pp.
- [16] M. Imdad, S. Chauhan and Z. Kadelburg, Fixed point theorem for mappings with common limit range property satisfying generalized (ψ, φ)-weak contractive conditions, Math. Sci. (Springer) 7 (2013), Art. 16, 8 pp.
- [17] M. Imdad, B.D. Pant and S. Chauhan, Fixed point theorems in Menger spaces using CLR_(S,T) property and applications, J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim. 3 (2012), no. 2, 225–237.
- [18] M. Imdad, A. Sharma and S. Chauhan, Unifying a multitude of common fixed point theorems in symmetric spaces, Filomat 28 (2014), no. 6, 1113–1132.
- [19] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (1986), no. 4, 771–779.
- [20] Z. Kadelburg, H.K. Nashine and S. Radenović, Fixed point results under various contractive conditions in partial metric spaces, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 107 (2013), no. 2, 241–256.
- [21] E. Karapınar and U. Yüksel, Some common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. 2011, Art. ID 263621, 16 pp.
- [22] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 30 (1984), no. 1, 1–9.
- [23] Y. Liu, J. Wu and Z. Li, Common fixed points of single-valued and multivalued maps, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2005, no. 19, 3045–3055.
- [24] J. Matkowski, Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1977), no. 2, 344–348.
- [25] S.G Matthews, Partial metric topology, in: S. Andima et al. (eds.), Papers on General Topology and Applications, Eighth Summer Conference at Queens College, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 728, New York, 1994, pp. 183–197.
- [26] U. Mishra and A.S. Ranadive, Common fixed point of absorbing mappings satisfying implicit relations. Preprint.
- [27] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008, Art. ID 189870, 12 pp.
- [28] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, in: J.G. Falset et al. (eds.), International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Proceedings of the conference held in Valencia, July 13-19, 2003, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2004, pp. 189–198.
- [29] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7 (2006), no. 2, 289–297.

- [30] R.P. Pant, Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 226 (1998), no. 1, 251–258.
- [31] R.P. Pant, R-weak commutativity and common fixed points of noncompatible maps, Ganita 49 (1998), no. 1, 19–27.
- [32] R.P. Pant, *R-weak commutativity and common fixed points*, Soochow J. Math. 25 (1999), no. 1, 37–42.
- [33] V. Parvanieh, J.R. Roshan and Z. Kadelburg, On generalized weakly GP-contractive mappings in ordered GP-metric spaces, Gulf J. Math. 1 (2013), no. 1, 78–97.
- [34] V. Popa, Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings, Stud. Cercet. Ştiinţ. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău No. 7 (1997), 127–133.
- [35] V. Popa, Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Demonstratio Math. 32 (1999), no. 1, 157–163.
- [36] V. Popa, A general fixed point theorem for occasionally weakly compatible mappings and applications, Sci. Stud. Res. Ser. Math. Inform. 22 (2012), no. 1, 77–91.
- [37] V. Popa, Fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings satisfying a new type of common limit range property, Filomat 31 (2017), no. 11, 3181–3192.
- [38] V. Popa and M. Mocanu, Altering distance and common fixed points under implicit relations, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 38 (2009), no. 3, 329–337.
- [39] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, A general fixed point theorem for pairs of weakly compatible mappings in G-metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 5 (2012), no. 2, 151–160.
- [40] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying an implicit relation in complete G-metric spaces, Bul. Inst. Politeh. Iaşi. Secţ. Mat. Mec. Teor. Fiz. 59(63) (2013), no. 2, 97–123.
- [41] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, A general fixed point theorem for a pair of self mappings with common limit range property in G-metric spaces, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. 29 (2014), no. 4, 351–370.
- [42] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, Two general fixed point theorems for a sequence of mappings satisfying implicit relations in G_p-metric spaces, Appl. Gen. Topol. 16 (2015), no. 2, 225–231.
- [43] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, Well posedness of fixed point problem for mappings satisfying an implicit relation in G_p-metric spaces, Math. Sci. Appl. E-Notes 3 (2015), no. 1, 108–117.
- [44] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, Fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings satisfying common limit range property in G-metric spaces, Bul. Inst. Politeh. Iaşi. Secţ Mat. Mec. Teor. Fiz. 62(66) (2016), no. 2, 19–42.
- [45] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, Fixed point results for pairs of absorbing mappings in partial metric spaces, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. No. 50 (2017), 97–109.
- [46] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, Fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings satisfying a new type of common limit range property in G_p-metric spaces, Ann. Math. Sil. **32** (2018), 295–312.
- [47] W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying Φ-maps in G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010, Art. ID 181650, 9 pp.
- [48] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. 2011, Art. ID 637958, 14 pp.
- [49] C. Vetro and F. Vetro, Common fixed points of mappings satisfying implicit relations in partial metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 6 (2013), no. 3, 152–161.

"Vasile Alecsandrı" University of Bacău 157 Calea Mărăşeşti Bacău, 600115 Romania e-mail: vpopa@ub.ro